Appeal: 15-2068 Doc: 6 Filed: 09/25/2015 Pg: 1 of 5

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT DOCKETING STATEMENT--CIVIL/AGENCY CASES

Directions: Counsel must make a **docketing statement (civil/agency) filed** entry in CM/ECF within 14 days of docketing of the appeal, or within the due date set by the clerk's docketing notice, whichever is later. File with the entry the (1) docketing statement form with any extended answers and (2) any transcript order form. Parties proceeding pro se are not required to file a docketing statement. Opposing counsel who finds a docketing statement inaccurate or incomplete may file any objections within 10 days of service of the docketing statement using the ECF event-**docketing statement objection/correction filed**.

Appeal No. & Caption	Frank Trionfo, et al. v. Bank of America, N.A. No. 15-2068
Originating No. & Caption	Frank Trionfo, et al. v. Bank of America, N.A No. 15-cv-00925
Originating Court/Agency	The United States District Court for the District of Maryland

Jurisdiction (answer any that apply)		
Statute establishing jurisdiction in Court of Appeals	28 U.S.C. § 1291	
Time allowed for filing in Court of Appeals	30 days	
Date of entry of order or judgment appealed	9/02/2015	
Date notice of appeal or petition for review filed	9/10/2015	
If cross appeal, date first appeal filed		
Date of filing any post-judgment motion		
Date order entered disposing of any post-judgment motion		
Date of filing any motion to extend appeal period		
Time for filing appeal extended to		
Is appeal from final judgment or order?	Yes	O No
If appeal is not from final judgment, why is order appealable	?	

Settlement (The docketing statement is used by the mediation conducted under Local Rule 33. Counse calling the Office of the Circuit Mediator at 843-52	l may make a confidential r	_
Is settlement being discussed?	• Yes	O No

Appeal: 15-2068 Doc: 6 Filed: 09/25/2015 Pg: 2 of 5

Transcript (transcript order must be attached if transcript is needed and not yet on file)		
Is transcript needed for this appeal?	O Yes	⊙ No
Has transcript been filed in district court?	O Yes	⊙ No
Is transcript order attached?	O Yes	⊙ No

Case Handling Requirements (answer any that apply)			
Case number of any prior appeal in same case			
Case number of any pending appeal in same case			
Identification of any case pending in this Court or			
Supreme Court raising similar issue	If abeyance or consolidation is warranted, counsel must file an appropriate motion.		
Is expedited disposition necessary?	O Yes	• No	
	If yes, motion to expedite must be filed.		
Is oral argument necessary?	Yes	O No	
Does case involve question of first impression?	• Yes	O No	
Does appeal challenge constitutionality of federal	O Yes	No	
or state statute in case to which federal or state government is not a party	If yes, notice re: challenge to constitutionality of law must be filed.		

Nature of Case (Nature of case and disposition below. Attach additional page if necessary.)

In January 2013, the United States Consumer Financial Protection Bureau issued a number of regulations supplementing the provisions of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (RESPA) requiring mortgage servicers to follow certain guidelines for processing loss mitigation applications See 12 C.F.R. § 1024.41 (the "Loss Mitigation Guidelines"). These guidelines became effective on January 10, 2014.

Appellants Frank and Suzanne Trionfo are homeowners whose mortgage loan is serviced by Appellee Bank of America, N.A. Due to financial hardship brought on by medical problems and the Great Recession, Appellants fell behind on their mortgage payments. Appellee notified Appellants of their delinquency and encouraged them to apply for loss mitigation programs offered by Appellee to prevent foreclosure on their home—such as a loan modification or repayment plan. On March 24, 2014, Appellants submitted a complete loss mitigation application to Appellee.

(continued on attached page)

Appeal: 15-2068 Doc: 6 Filed: 09/25/2015 Pg: 3 of 5

Nature of Case

(...continued)

On March 31, 2015, Appellants filed a Class Action Complaint on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated in the U.S. District Court for the District of Maryland. The Complaint alleged that Appellee violated RESPA because Appellee failed to adhere to the requirements of the Loss Mitigation Guidelines. Appellants also alleged that Appellee's conduct with regard to Appellants' loss mitigation programs violated the Maryland Consumer Protection Act (MCPA), Md. Comm. Law, §§ 13-101 et. seq.

On May 26, 2015, Appellee filed a motion to dismiss the Complaint, which Appellants opposed. On September 2, 2015, the district court issued an order granting Appellee's motion to dismiss and closing the case. On September 10, 2015, Appellants timely filed their notice of appeal.

Appeal: 15-2068 Doc: 6 Filed: 09/25/2015 Pg: 4 of 5

Issues (Non-binding statement of issues on appeal. Attach additional page if necessary)

- 1. Did the district court err in dismissing Appellants' RESPA claim based on factual assertions made by Appellee that were not part of the allegations in the Complaint?
- 2. Did the district court err in dismissing Appellants' RESPA claim by applying the Loss Mitigation Guidelines retroactively?
- 3. Did the district court err in ruling that Appellants failed to state a claim for violation of MCPA § 13-301(5)?
- 4. Did the district court err in ruling that Appellants failed to allege claims under MCPA §§ 13-301(1), (3), (9) and 13-303(4)-(5)?
- 5. Did the district court err by refusing to consider allegations in the Complaint that were relevant to Appellants' claims?
- 6. Did the district court err in ruling that Appellants' had failed to adequately allege a violation of MCPA § 13-316(c)?

Adverse Parties (List adverse parties to this appeal and their attorneys; provide party's address if the party is not represented by counsel. Attach additional page if necessary.)

Attorney: James W. McGarry Address: Goodwin Procter LLP

53 State St.

Adverse Party:

Boston, MA 02109

Adverse Party: Bank of America, N.A.

Adverse Party: Bank of America, N.A.

Attorney: Keith Levenberg

Address: Goodwin Procter LLP

901 New York Ave. N.W.

901 New York Ave, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001

Phone: (202) 346-4000 Phone: (617) 570-1000

Adverse Parties (continued)

Adverse Party:

Attorney: Attorney: Address: Address:

E-mail: E-mail:

Phone: Phone:

Appeal: 15-2068 Doc: 6 Filed: 09/25/2015 Pg: 5 of 5

Appellant (Attach additional page if necessary.)		
Name: Frank Trionfo and Suzanne Trionfo	Name: Frank Trionfo and Suzanne Trionfo	
Attorney: Lorenzo B. Cellini Address: 2000 L Street, N.W Suite 808 Washington, D.C. 20036	Attorney: Jonathan K. Tycko Address: 2000 L Street, N.W Suite 808 Washington, D.C. 20036	
E-mail: lcellini@tzlegal.com	E-mail: jtycko@tzlegal.com	
Phone: (202) 973-0900	Phone: (202) 973-0900	
Appellant (continued)		
Name: Frank Trionfo and Suzanne Trionfo	Name:	
Attorney: Geoffrey G. Bestor Address: The Bestor Law Firm 2701 Calvert Street, N.W, #1109 Washington, D.C. 20008	Attorney: Address:	
E-mail: gbesq@bestorlaw.com	E-mail:	
Phone: (240) 463-8503	Phone:	
Signature: /s/ Lorenzo B. Cellini	Date: 9/25/2015	
Counsel for: Frank Trionfo and Suzanne 1	rionfo	
Certificate of Service: I certify that on Ser	ot. 25, 2015 the foregoing document was	
served on all parties or their counsel of record registered users or, if they are not, by serving a below (Attach additional page if necessary):	through the CM/ECF system if they are	
•	through the CM/ECF system if they are	